



# Proceso ANAMMOX: experiencia presente y perspectivas de futuro

### Anuska Mosquera Corral

Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Valencia, 16 de Octubre de 2019 Cátedra UPV FACSA-FOVASA



# The wastewater contains energy which can be recovered



# Nitrogen is removed from wastewater by biological processes

**Conventional nitrification-denitrification** 



#### **Partial nitritation-Anammox process**



**Anammox: Anaerobic AMMonium OXidation** 

NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> + 1,32 NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> + 0,066 HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + 0,13 H<sup>+</sup>

 $\rightarrow$  1,02 N<sub>2</sub> + 0,26 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + 0,066 CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>0,5</sub>N<sub>0,15</sub> + 2,03 H<sub>2</sub>O

Two alternatives are feasible to carry out the partial nitritation-anammox (PN-AMX) processes



A. Mosquera-Corral, F. González, J.L. Campos, R. Méndez. (2005). Process Biochemistry, 40, 3109–3118.

4

# PN-AMX processes take place in single stage in granular biomass systems



# The structure of the granules allows for an external aerobic and an internal anoxic layer



Vázquez-Padín J.R. et al. (2010). Water Research, 44, 4359-4370.

# PN-AMX processes take place simultaneously inside the granular biomass



Dissolved oxygen (DO):  $2.2 - 4.6 \text{ mg O}_2/L$ 

AOR: Ammonium oxidation rate NRR: Nitrogen removal rate NOR: Nitrite oxidation rate

# Research at pilot scale was performed to validate the process (2010-2013)



\*ELAN<sup>®</sup> process (ELiminación Autótrofa de Nitrógeno): combination of partial nitrification and Anammox in a single reactor.

### SBR granular reactors were evaluated by FCC Aqualia







# The PN-AMX reactor is placed in the reject line in the WWTP



### SBR granular reactors were evaluated in Guillarei

SBR: Sequencing Batch Reactor





# Conductivity set-point determines the length of the SBR cycle



# SBR granular reactors were evaluated in Guillarei WWTP



Nitrogen Compounds					
NH <sub>4</sub> influent	mg N/L	850 - 1500			
NH <sub>4</sub> effluent	mg N/L	63 – 250			
NO <sub>2</sub> effluent	mg N/L	1-5			
NO <sub>3</sub> effluent	mg N/L	23 – 102			
Average Nitrogen Removal		82%			
Biomass					
TSS	g/L	12.9			
VSS	g/L	11.8			
SVI	mL/g TSS	36			

# The control of the ELAN<sup>®</sup> process is based on conductivity measurements



Parameter	Nitrification- Denitrification	ELAN®	Saves (%)
O <sub>2</sub> consumption (kg O <sub>2</sub> /kg N)	3.18	1.83	-42
COD consumption (kg COD/kg N)	4.9	0	-100
Biomass yield (kg VSS/kg N)	2.11	0.12	-94

## The ELAN® process is scaled up at full scale



In operation/start up

Design/under construction



Mainstream industrial WWTP

## Comparison: Secondary treatment vs. ELAN<sup>®</sup> design

	Biological R. (water line)	ELAN® (sludge line)
<b>Reactor Volume</b> (m <sup>3</sup> )	9562	115
N denitrified (kg N/d)	226	67
Ammonium oxidized (kg N/d)	630 (to NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	43 (to NO <sub>2</sub> -)
$O_2$ consumption for nitrification (kg O <sub>2</sub> /d)	2879	148
N removal rate (kg N/(m <sup>3</sup> d))	0.02	0.60
<b>N oxidation rate</b> (kg N/(m <sup>3</sup> d))	0.06	0.37

Water line **83** times bigger than sludge line unit

Water line treates **3.4** times the load of the sludge line

# **Current** ELAN<sup>®</sup> process operation to treat the effluent from an anaerobic sludge co-digester in a WWTP





25 m<sup>3</sup> activated sludge (3.5 g TSS/L) + 1.4 m<sup>3</sup> of anammox enriched sludge (10 g VSS/L)

5 g VSS/L Oxygen limitation 400 – 700 mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N/L



Consorcio de Augas do Louro



# Nitrogen removal rates over 350 mg N/(L·d) are achieved





### Granular biomass is accumulated in the SBR





Biomass accumulation

# The ELAN<sup>®</sup> process to treat the effluent from an anaerobic digester in a fish cannery

#### Galicia (northwest of Spain):

- Approximately 65 fish canning industries
  - 86% of the total Spanish production
    - 1<sup>st</sup> region of Europe
    - 3<sup>th</sup> region in the world





#### Wastewaters from the fish canning industry:

- High variable composition and salt content 10 g/L
- Surface limitation for the WWTP installation

## The ELAN® process will be used to upgrade the fish

cannery



The feasibility of ELAN<sup>®</sup> to treat fish canning effluents was evaluated

- Variable composition
- Salt content



Moving from lab to Full Scale

The feasibility of ELAN<sup>®</sup> to treat fish canning effluents was evaluated



### Nitrogen removal successfully achieved in the SBR



Sudden increase of salt concentration reduced the N removal in the SBR



An strategy of salt progressive increase is evaluated



# Operational conditions need to be defined to minimize salinity effects

✓ Reduce the nitrogen load applied to compensate for the inhibition.

- $\checkmark$  Use a homogenization tank, helping to mitigate the sharp salt increases.
- ✓ Promote biomass progressive adaptation to increasing salt concentrations.

9 g NaCl/L 100% NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> oxidation 70% total nitrogen removal The implementation of the ELAN<sup>®</sup> process in the fish cannery involves several changes



## Together with a number of advantages

	Influe	nt	Et	ffluent	$\checkmark$ Achieving the same removal
	g/m³	kg/d	g/m	<sup>3</sup> kg/d	
COD	6700	1 818	250	67	100 % of the flow
TN	300	94	40	10	200 % Of the now
	1	With	nout	With	
AD Eff	luent	EL/	٩N®	<b>ELAN</b> <sup>®</sup>	<b>Double methane production</b>
Water Flow	v m³/d	1	35	270	
CH <sub>4</sub>	m³/d	24	45	490	Only 10 % of aerobic volume
	g/m³	kg	/d	kg/d	
COD	670	9	0	181	✓ 98 % sludge reduction
TN	312	4	2	84	
N rem	noval	SBR	(N-DN)	<b>ELAN<sup>®</sup></b>	85% Less Energy for aeration
Volum	<b>e</b> (m³)	2 5	500	250	V N romoval rate increase by 10
<b>Sludge</b> waste	(kg DS/d)	26	54	3	
N remova	l (kg N/d)	74	l.5	74.5	Positivo Enorgy Balanco:
Energy (	kWh/d)	13	340	198	4900 kWh ther vs 200 kWh elect
N remov kg N/(m	val rate <sup>3</sup> d)	0.	03	0.30	OPEX of ELAN <sup>®</sup> system
					expected to be <u>20% lower</u> than conventional N-DN



## Two-stage configuration allows to optimize each process separately



### The process limited by NOB activity



AOB = Ammonium oxidizing bacteria NOB = Nitrite oxidizing bacteria AMX = Anammox bacteria

## NOB are more sensitive to free nitrous acid (FNA) than AOB

Nitritation: 
$$NH_4^+ + 2 HCO_3^- + 1.4 O_2 \rightarrow NO_2^-$$
  
7,4  
7,4  
7,1  
6,8  
6,5  
6,2  
6,2  
6,2  
5,9  
5,6  
5,0  
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100  
NO<sub>2</sub>-N (mg/L)

Anthonisen et al. (1976) Journal Water Pollution Control Federation ; 48, (5), 835-852. Blackburne et al. (2008) Biodegradation; 19:303-312.

## Two-stage configuration allows a better NOB suppression and promotes the anammox process



**Inoculum**: sludge with significant NOB activity Sequencing batch reactor (SBR): 2 L



#### SBR cycle distribution

Feeding+aeration			
Settling			
Drawing			
Time (min)	158	20	2



Pedrouso et al. (2017) Separation and Purification Technology 186, 55-62.



## To succeed the main point is to avoid nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) activity

Complete nitrification





8) 3.4%
3) 3.4%
6) 0.0%

Abundance

Nitrospira (%)

27.4%

•  $NH_4^+$  Inf  $ONH_4^+$  Ef  $INO_2^-$  Ef  $ANO_3^-$  Ef

## Partial nitritation by in-situ FNA accumulation tested with municipal wastewater





#### PN with primary settled WW adjusts to defined scenarios



**Inoculum**: sludge without significant NOB activity (Giustinianovich et al. (2018)) Sequencing batch reactor (SBR): 2 L  $T = 15 \pm 1$  °C

**HRT** = 6 h

#### To maintain the AOB selection



NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N/IC ratio < 0.6 (100% oxidation)

	Stage	Days	Feeding	NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (mg N/L)	рН	N/IC (g/g)	TOC (mg/L)
	I	0 - 137	Synthetic*	50 ± 3	7.70 ± 0.10	0.89 ± 0.02	-
-	II	138 – 182	Sewage	29 ± 5	6.95 ± 0.15	0.80 ± 0.05	40 ± 7
Biomass storage at 4 °C	111	207 - 310	Sewage	45 ± 10	7.20 ± 0.25	0.68 ± 0.08	45 ± 9
	IV	311 - 354	Sewage	20 ± 1	7.01 ± 0.09	0.61 ± 0.02	22 ± 3

Giustinianovich et al. (2018) Chemosphere 194, 131-138.

\*Pedrouso et al. (2017) Separation and Purification Technology 186, 55-62.

## Partial nitritation established and succesfully maintaned by the in-situ FNA produced



#### Synthetic feeding

Feeding+aeration			
Settling			
Drawing			
Time (min)	158	20	2

#### Municipal wastewater

Feeding	60			
Aeration		158		
Settling			20	
Withdrawal				2

Pedrouso et al. (2018) "Simultaneous partial nitritation and organic matter removal in urban wastewater at low temperature" 4<sup>th</sup> IWA Specialized International Conference, IWA, Ontario, Canada.

### Succesful NOB inhibition by FNA in presence of organic matter



#### Mainstream anammox was operated at laboratory scale



**Inoculum**: ELAN<sup>®</sup> pilot plant treating reject water Synthetic media Sequencing batch reactor (**SBR**): 5 L

<b>r</b> = 15 ± 1 °C	
----------------------	--

**HRT** = 24 h

SBR cycle distribution

Feeding				
Mixing				
Settling				
Drawing				
Time (min)	300	30	15	15

AOB AMX AMX AMX

Stage	Days	Alk (mg IC/L)	g NH <sub>4</sub> +-N/g IC
I	0 - 197	130	0.20
II	198-248	65	0.38
Ш	249-338	30	0.83
IV	338-392	10	2.5



## Anammox activity is not affected by exposure time at low temperature



Sampling day	SAA (30 °C) (mg N/(g VSS⋅d))	SAA (15 °C) (mg N/(g VSS⋅d))
0	270 ± 13	53 ± 11
370	200 ± 13	78 ± 8

Nitrogen removal efficiency

## Stable anammox process performance treating the effluent of the partial nitritation unit



## Partial nitritation and anammox process at pilot scale – Stay research

EDAR Valdebebas (Madrid) 260 000 hab-eq 52 000 m<sup>3</sup>/d Eliminación de materia orgánica



### **AquELAN**<sup>®</sup>





## NOB successfully suppressed at pilot scale



### Anammox process was quickly established



Load treated (2 units): 100 g N/m<sup>3</sup>·d

# Variable nitrite conversions are possible depending on the wastewater characteristics



Case	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N/IC ratio (g N/g C)	Stream to PN unit (%)	Ammonia oxidized to nitrite (%)	Action required
А	>1.0	100	50	Alkalinity supply
В	0.8-1.0	100	50	None
С	0.6-0.8	50-100	50-100	Bypass to anammox unit
D	<0.6	50	100	Bypass and pH control

### Acknowledgements



Improved control and application of nitrogen cycle bacteria for ammonia removal from wastewater (ICON). European Commission (EESD) (EVK1-CT-2000-00054). 01/02/2001 -31/01/2004.

Development of biological reactors for the ANaerobic

AMMonium OXidation (OXANAMON). Ministery of Science and



XUNTA DE GALICIA



Development of clean technologies for the optimization of the design and operation of WWTPs. Galician Government. 08/08/2010-30/09/2013.

Technology (PPQ2002-00771). 01/11/2002 - 31/10/2005.

ITACA project funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy through the CDTI INNPRONTA program (2011/CE25).



Competitive reference group (GRC 2013-032) funded by FEDER.



 Pioneer\_STP - The Potential of Innovative Technologies to Improve Sustainability of Sewage Treatment Plants (PCIN-2015-22 (MINECO) / ID199 (WaterJPI)). April 2016 - May 2019

# The performance of PN-AMX processes needs to be assessed for each type of wastewater

anuska.mosquera@usc.es

<u>A. Mosquera Corral</u><sup>1</sup>, A. Val del Río<sup>1</sup>, A. Pedrouso<sup>1</sup>, J.L. Campos<sup>1</sup>, R. Méndez<sup>1</sup> J.R. Vázquez-Padín<sup>2</sup>, N. Morales<sup>2</sup>, R. Fernández-González<sup>2</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Aqualia (FCC Group), Guillarei WWTP, Pontevedra, Spain



Mao N., Ren H., Gen J., Ding L., Xu K. (2017) World J Microbiol Biotechnol, 33:153.